

PROVING THE EXISTENCE OF GOD – COSMOLOGICAL ARGUMENT

Classical Arguments for the Existence of God

Cosmological . creation

Teleological . design

Axiological . moral

Ontological . being

Cosmological Argument

The universe didn't create itself.

1. Finite, changing things exist.
2. Every finite, changing thing must be caused by something else.
3. There cannot be an infinite regress of these causes.
4. Therefore, there must be a first *uncaused cause* of every finite, changing thing that exists. (Norman Geisler, When Skeptics Ask)

Evolution vs. Creation

The central debate surrounding the existence of God centers on the argument of creation vs. evolution. The Christian position states the universe was intelligently designed by a creator (God) and did not evolve randomly and can be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Evolution is a *hypothesis*, which has never been proven and virtually no additional evidence has ever been produced. Due to the lack of apologetic reasoning this view has been widely accepted by the media and in today's educational system.

Statistical Evidence

To provide a single protein molecule by chance combination would take 10^{262} years.
To get a single cell would take $10^{119,841}$ years.

To use this argument as a scientific basis for rejecting creation, you've just thrown science out the window. Science is based on repeated observation, not chance. Evolution is the position of scientism, not science.

Fossil Record

The theory of evolution relies on the missing link which is the fossil remains of the being descended from man and one of our ancestors. In reality there are millions of missing links . one for every species now in existence. Additionally, all modern species appeared at roughly the same time in the fossil record.

Shocking Quotes

"Evolution is a fairy tale for adults," Dr. Duane Gish, noted biologist

"I suppose the reason we leaped at The Origin of Species was because the idea of God interfered with our sexual mores," Sir Julian Huxley, head of UNESCO

Evolution is unproved and unprovable. We believe it because the only alternative is special creation which is unthinkable.+Sir Arthur Keith, famous British evolutionist.

To suppose that the eye, with all its inimitable contrivances for adjusting the focus to different distances, for admitting different amounts of light, and for the correction of spherical and chromatic aberration, could have been formed by natural selection, seems, I freely confess, absurd in the highest degree possible.+Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection* (chapter 6, *Difficulties with the Theory*)

Laws of Thermodynamics:

Conservation of Energy

Energy can neither be created nor destroyed. Evolutionists must not only disregard the philosophical fact that the universe didn't create itself, but they must also disregard this primary law of physics.

Entropy

The law of Entropy states that all matter breaks down over time. Our aging bodies, rust, and the fact that our sun is burning its irreplaceable fuel are examples of entropy in action. Now if the scientifically accepted law of entropy states that all matter breaks down, how is it that some primordial goo went the other direction and became human?

Population Growth

If the human race is as old as evolutionists claim, then we must have been reproducing since that time. They claim we've been around for over a million years, while the Bible clearly shows that we've only been here for around 6,000 years. Taking some conservative numbers we find that starting 4300 years ago (after the flood), with an average lifespan of 43 years and 2.5 children per family we arrive at a current population of approximately 5 billion. The current population of the earth is 5.5 billion.

Using the same data for the evolutionists' claim yields a current population of 10^{2091} . This is an absurdly large number. Even if we seriously cut the number, we would still be living on top of mountains of ancestral bones . none of which have been found.

For more detail see *The Biblical Basis for Modern Science*, Henry M. Morris.

Philosophical Arguments

The absurdity of infinity . Hilbert's Hotel

Infinite set theory is a branch of mathematics that does not always translate to the real world (hotel rooms, time, etc.) In other words, scientific and mathematical concepts can be easily misused.